

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-9, 22-34 and 39-45 were pending. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 22, 32, 34, 39, 40 and 42 have been amended. New claims 46-47 have been added. Thus, claims 1-9, 22-34 and 39-47 are currently pending. No new matter has been added.

Claims 1, 39 and 40 have been amended to recite “wherein said rotation or rocking motion is not caused by vibration produced by a motor unconnected to the syringe.” Support for this amendment can be found in Figs 1 and 4a and pages 3-4 bridging par., 4-5 bridging par., and 7-8 bridging par., of the specification.

Claims 2 has been amended, *inter alia*, to recite that the cause of the rotating or rocking motion is a motor connected to the injector system or syringe. Support for this amendment can be found in Figs 1 and 4a and pages 3-4 bridging par., 4-5 bridging par., and 7-8 bridging paragraph, of the specification.

New claims 46 and 47 have been added which recites *inter alia*, that the cause of the rotating or rocking motion is a motor connected to the injector system or syringe. Support for this amendment can be found in Figs 1 and 4a and pages 3-4 bridging par., 4-5 bridging par., and 7-8 bridging par., of the specification.

Claim 5 has been amended to recite that “motion is applied along or around the syringe longitudinal or transverse axis” and to depend from claim 3. Claim 6 has been amended, *inter alia*, to recite that “motion is applied along or around the syringe longitudinal or transverse axis, by subjecting the syringe to continuous or intermittent rotation” and to depend from claim 3. Support for the amendments to claims 5 and 6 can be found in Figs 1 and 4a and pages 3-4 bridging par., 4-5 bridging par., 7-8 bridging par., and pages 14-16, example 3 of the specification.

Claim 34 has been amended to recite that the “contrast agent comprises” the listed contrast agents. Support for this amendment can be found on page 10, par. 1 of the specification.

Claims 3, 9, 22 and 32 have been amended to depend from claims 1 or 2. Claim 40 has been amended to depend from claims 39 or 46. Claim 42 has been amended to depend from claims 41 or 47.

Applicants thank the Examiner for his courtesy during the previous telephone interviews and note his statement that the above presented claims, previously provided to the Examiner prior to the February 12, 2008 telephone interview, are allowable over the prior art currently of record. Applicants further note the Examiner’s statement that a further search of the art is being conducted.

No fees are believed due in connection with the filing of this Amendment and Response to Final Office Action. However, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any required fees and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-0540.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 13, 2008

/Henry J. Cittone/  
Donald Rhoads, Reg. No. 34,705  
Henry J. Cittone, Reg. No. 57,206  
Attorney for Applicants  
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 715-9100 (phone)  
(212) 715-8000 (fax)